

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,251	03/01/2004	Paul R. Hooper	124795-1005	9804
7	7590 12/02/2004		EXAMINER	
KENNETH T. EMANUELSON GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP			DINH, PHUONG K	
	REET, SUITE 3000		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX	75201		2839	
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/790,251	HOOPER, PAUL R.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong KT Dinh	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	5
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a ri  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  (HS from the mailing date of this commun  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status	,		•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	March 2004.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	vance except for formal matte	• •	its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	ccepted or b) objected to be ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-15	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received.  ents have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been received.	oplication No received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/04</u> .	6)	<b>-</b> ∙	

Application/Control Number: 10/790,251

Art Unit: 2839

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,746,254. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for reasons set forth below.
- 3. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the following reasons:
- 4. The claims of parent case are coextensive with and recited the same basic limitations as the claim of this case but differ only by obvious variation. For example claim 1 of the parent case recited as a fastening for attaching a circuit board to a chassis comprising a head section for engaging the circuit board, a tail section for engaging the circuit board and a spring section to urge the head section into engagement with the circuit board wherein the claim 1 of this case recites as a fastening

Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

for attaching a circuit board to a chassis comprising a head section for engaging the circuit board, a tail section for engaging the circuit board and a spring section to urge the head section into engagement with the circuit board.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-2, 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boe (U. S. Patent 6,124,552).

Regarding claims 1-2 and 4-9, Boe discloses a fastener for attaching a circuit board 20 to a chassis 22 comprising: a head section 40 for engaging the circuit board; a tail section 70 for engaging the circuit board and a spring section 50 to urge the lead into engagement with the circuit board.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong KT Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-2090. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 -5, 5 days a week.

Art Unit: 2839

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on 571-272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Dinh

November 12, 2004.